UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/535,110	12/27/2005	David Peter Wharton	130098-1001	3572
66482 Law Offices of	7590 11/23/200 Tim Headley	EXAMINER		
7941 Katy Fwy	, Suite 506		OSTRUP, CLINTON T	
Houston, TX 77024-1924			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3771	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			11/23/2009	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

tim.headley@headleyiplaw.com weston.stewart@headleyiplaw.com tim.headley@gmail.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	10/535,110	WHARTON ET AL.		
Examiner initiated interview earninary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	CLINTON OSTRUP	3771		
All Participants:	Status of Application: <u>Am</u>	<u>ended</u>		
(1) Clinton Ostrup.	(3)			
(2) <u>Tim Headley</u> .	(4)			
Date of Interview: <u>17 November 2009</u>	Time: <u>4:30 pm</u>			
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applic Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	ant's representative)			
Part I.				
Rejection(s) discussed: Proposed 35 USC 112, second paragraph rejections were discuexaminer to obviate the proposed rejections.	rssed and alternative claim languag	ge was suggested by the		
Claims discussed: 1, 6, 9, 13, 16, 17, 22, 27, 29, 33, 34, and 54.				
Prior art documents discussed: None				
Part II.				
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENE See Continuation Sheet	RAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS	S DISCUSSED:		
Part III.				
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 				
/Steven O. Douglas/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3771	Applicant/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature – if appropriate)		

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner informed Mr. Headley that he was trying to get this application in condition for allowance; however, there were minor objectionable and/or rejectionable issues in the claims that needed to be addressed. Claims 1, 6, 9, 13, 16, 17, 22, 27, 29, 33, 34, and 54 were discussed and alternative claim language and/or punctuation was agreed upon to overcome the examiners concerns. It was also agreed that claim 16 would be cancelled due to its ambiguous "detour pathway" not defined in the specification or shown in the drawings. It was agreed that these changes would be made by examiner's amendment.